Background

Oregon State University’s Capital Forecast is a 10-year rolling plan that supports OSU’s vision, mission, and strategic plan. It is updated annually, is dynamic, reflects institutional priorities, and responds to opportunities. It includes campus buildings, landscapes and other infrastructure that supports university needs. The 10-year Capital Forecast (Forecast) includes minor (projects between $100.0 thousand - $5.0 million) and major (projects greater than $5.0 million) capital investments.

The Forecast includes five focused biennial capital plans. The plans include the cost of all activities to acquire, develop, renew and/or improve a capital asset; proposed sources of funds; and provides a level of detail and transparency to inform the university community about capital projects and improvements.

Collaboratively, the staff of Capital Planning and Development and members of the Infrastructure Working Group (IWG) draft the Forecast. The Capital Plan Review Group (CPRG), Provost’s Dean Council, Provost’s Senior Leadership Team, and staff within Division of Finance and Administration refine the 10-year Capital Forecast for consideration and approval by the Vice President of Finance and Administration, Executive Vice President/Provost, President and Board of Trustees.
Principles

The overarching principle for OSU’s 10-year Capital Forecast is to advance the university’s mission, vision and strategic plan. The process for reviewing and updating the Forecast is designed to be comprehensive, consistent, consultative, transparent and efficient.

Evaluation of each project is based on four primary criteria – criticality, physical quality, impact and leverage. More specifically:

• Resolving critical fire and life safety needs
• Improving accessibility, the condition, the useful life and utilization of the university’s capital assets
• Demonstrating financial viability, including preserving, protecting and extending the useful life of capital assets, and considering long-term operation and maintenance costs
• Creating an infrastructure that supports strategic initiatives, and student, faculty and staff success while enhancing our reputation for academic programs, research and scholarship, and outreach
• Leveraging capital resources – particularly gifts

Special attention is paid to:

• Historically significant facilities and those built within the Historic District to ensure fulfillment of expectations of this formal designation
• Campus sustainability, stewardship, natural resource and energy conservation
• The OSU Corvallis and Cascades Campus Master Plan
• The development of multi-use, multi-unit facilities that create efficiencies, enhance collaboration and inspire innovation

Within the Forecast are five biennial Capital Plans that include proposed funding strategies for the projects. Detailed information includes the cost of all activities to acquire, design, construct, renew and/or improve the capital asset. This detail provides a heightened level of transparency to inform the university community about proposed capital projects and improvements. The Forecast becomes a component of OSU’s 10-year Business Forecast, which is reviewed and approved by the OSU Board of Trustees in January of even years.

The Forecast will:

• Assess resource requirements and financial capacity constraints, while also considering revenue generating and/or cost control value of capital investments.
• Include a realistic implementation schedule, address space allocation needs, enable projects, address civic outreach expectations and consider other essential impacted infrastructure (e.g. parking, transportation).
Criteria

The review and update of the Forecast is guided by the following criteria:

• **Advancing the university’s OSU’s Strategic Plan:** The proposed project must make a critical contribution to the advancement of OSU’s Strategic Plan and be part of each unit’s strategic plans. The proposal should address the contribution that the capital project will make towards achieving three main goals:
  1. Provide a transformative educational experience for all learners.
  2. Demonstrate leadership in research, scholarship and creativity while enhancing preeminence in the three signature areas of distinction.
  3. Strengthen impact and reach throughout Oregon and beyond.

• **Criticality:** Proposals must address how the capital project enhances the life safety (seismic, fire, other potential hazards) of its inhabitants/users, and contributes to the sustainability of OSU’s environmental, economic and human resources.

• **Physical Quality:** The building condition and its life span are major factors that are considered when incorporating a capital project into the Capital Forecast for demolition, replacement or renewal. The proposed investment is evaluated for its ability to meet university program needs through reuse of existing building space and infrastructure without the need for net new space; or to meet a need for the proposing unit or function that cannot be met through use of currently assigned space. The project will remove accessibility barriers.
Criteria, continued

- **Impact**: Capital projects must demonstrate financial viability, including preserving, protecting and extending the useful life of capital assets, while considering long-term operation and maintenance costs. Student and employee success, reputation, and ability to perform scholarly works and outreach would be enhanced by the investment in the capital project.

- **Leverage**: Proposed projects are evaluated on their ability to attract private gifts, grants and state bond funding.

- Proposals must align with the OSU Campus Master Plan and OSU-Cascades Master Plan.

Project development reports are the source of the information by which the Infrastructure Working Group evaluates major capital requests. A financial *pro forma* is evaluated for each project in conjunction with the university’s operating forecast to understand its impact on the university’s financial health.

The Forecast provides a current view of capital projects that meet the aforementioned criteria, however, inclusion does not constitute authorization to proceed with any phase of the proposed capital investment.

The decision to proceed with a major capital project (exceeds $5 million) must be recommended by the Executive Vice President/Provost, the Vice President for Finance and Administration, the President and be approved by the Board of Trustees.

Once the project is on the Forecast, it may begin to move through the capital planning and development process, beginning with the execution of a Project Agreement and the beginning of the project programming stage.

The Board receives an annual report on the status of capital projects at the autumn Board meeting. At the final completion phase of a capital project, all remaining funds will be redistributed based on priority needs, subject to any fund restrictions.

Capital investments of opportunity could arise between Board of Trustee approvals of the Forecast. Such capital construction opportunities may be considered by the Executive Vice President/Provost and the Vice President for Finance and Administration as an addition/substitution if funds are secured for the total capital project cost and associated incremental operating costs. If the cost of these projects exceed $5M, then Board of Trustee approval is required.
Process for Annual Review and Update

The Forecast is reviewed and updated annually in the autumn. The Forecast is a list of potential projects over a 10-year period that may be removed, added or modified in subsequent review cycles, as circumstances change.

CHARACTERISTICS

The process for reviewing and updating the OSU Capital Forecast has the following characteristics:

• **Comprehensive**: Provides an opportunity for all units on campus to submit proposals for capital projects to advance their contributions to the Strategic Plan.

• **Consistent**: Ensures that all projects are subjected to the same scrutiny for technical and financial feasibility, relevance to the strategic plan, long-term costs and alternative solutions.

• **Consultative**: Solicits comment and input from the principal representative leadership groups on campus, particularly the Provost’s Council, the Division of Finance and Administration Council, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee and ASOSU. The process reserves the authority for final decisions about projects to the Executive Vice President/Provost, the Vice President for Finance and Administration, President and Board of Trustees.

• **Transparent**: Shares the process for developing project proposals, the result of project reviews and the reasons for final decisions with the OSU community.

• **Efficient**: Encourages consistent, effective and prompt review of projects and advancement of key opportunities.

PROCESS

The process for the annual update, review and approval of the Forecast includes the following key steps (also see Appendix 1):

1. **Identification of possible projects**: Projects are identified in three principal ways, as listed below.

   a) Responses to the annual solicitation to campus managed by Capital Planning and Development (CPD) to provide opportunities for all units to submit project proposals. This is usually done during late spring and early summer.

   b) Projects identified by CPD and Facilities Services (FS) as important improvements for health, safety, space efficiency, capital renewal, and other criteria. This project list is maintained and updated by CPD.

   c) Projects of opportunity that are identified “out-of-cycle” from the solicitation because of donor interest, state or federal mandates, or decisions by OSU senior leadership. Projects developed by units “out-of-cycle” will normally be reviewed at the next spring solicitation cycle, but urgency may require earlier consideration.
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2. **Project review** – Project undergo a technical, financial and project type review.
   a) **Technical Review**: Proposed projects are initially reviewed by CPD for thoroughness, technical feasibility, compliance with OSU's Campus Master Plan and other criteria identified in the original solicitation. CPD assists in collecting and providing data that inform the prioritization process. If the project is to be funded in whole or part by private donations, a feasibility assessment from the OSUF must be provided by the proposing unit. CPD may return proposals to units for additional clarity and information; or to solicit necessary additional detail from the proposing units.
   b) **Pro Forma Review**: The financial *pro forma* for the project, including incremental annual revenues generated and cost of recurring operations and maintenance associated with the project, is prepared by the Office of Budget and Fiscal Planning, who will work with proposing unit leadership to ensure that the *pro forma* is comprehensive and financially viable.
   c) **Project Type**: CPD sorts the proposed projects by type - academic, campus community or infrastructure projects.
      i. Academic projects include space for faculty, students, classrooms, laboratories or space devoted primarily to student support.
      ii. Campus community projects include housing, athletics facilities, administrative space or support space.
      iii. Infrastructure projects include roads, steam lines, lighting, utility tunnel improvements, etc.
      iv. Capital improvement and renewal projects such as roofs; heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) systems; and elevator replacement.

3. **Infrastructure Working Group (IWG) Review and Prioritization**: All major capital projects are reviewed and prioritized by the IWG using the criteria described earlier. The Forecast is drafted based on IWG priorities, fiscal boundary conditions, project timelines and project sequencing needs. An analytical hierarchy ranking process results in a recommended priority of capital projects to university leadership prior to submission to the Higher Education Coordinating Commission (HECC). Major capital project requests are submitted to the HECC in the spring of even years for consideration for state bond funding. Membership and purview of the working group is summarized later in the document.

4. **Capital Plan Review Group Review**: The draft Forecast is reviewed by the Capital Plan Review Group (Review Group). The Review Group may solicit comments from other key leadership groups, including the Faculty Senate Executive Committee and ASOSU. The Review Group seeks any necessary clarifications or additional information from appropriate campus units, including fundraising feasibility, impact on institutional debt ratios and financial forecasts, review of detailed project *pro formas* and site proposals. Membership and purview of the working group is summarized later in the document.

5. **Executive Leadership Review and Approval**: The draft Forecast is reviewed, modified, and approved by the Executive Vice President/Provost and the Vice President for Finance and Administration, who recommend that the President seek approval from the Board of Trustees. The Executive Vice President/Provost and the Vice President for Finance and Administration may request additional information from the Capital Plan Review Group, CPD, and/or others; and shares their final decisions with the appropriate leadership groups.
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6. **Recommendations Forwarded**: The President seeks acceptance of the Forecast from the Board of Trustees.

7. **Project Execution**: The Vice President for Finance and Administration has responsibility for approving to proceed with a capital project in the Forecast. Projects may be moved up, delayed or revised in response to changing circumstances or resources. Inclusion of a project in the Forecast is not a guarantee that the project will be completed. The Vice President for Finance and Administration delegates the next step of project execution, namely project programming, to CPD and other units, as appropriate.

8. **Annual Report**: An annual report is provided in the autumn of each year to the Board of Trustees on the status of major capital projects and includes the Forecast.
Infrastructure Working Group

The Executive Vice President/Provost and Vice President for Finance and Administration established the Infrastructure Working Group (IWG) to, in part, create a draft OSU 10-Year Capital Forecast (Forecast) annually.

The IWG assists in the following:

• Reviews and prioritizes annual capital solicitation requests from Colleges, OSU campuses, statewide programs, and all administrative units
• Develops a draft Forecast
• Reviews and improves capital project solicitation guidelines every three years (last updated - summer 2018)
• Considers space and infrastructure constraints and improvement opportunities
• Engages in campus master planning activities
• Validates biennial minor capital improvement and renewal plans

The IWG is co-chaired by the Associate Vice President for University Facilities, Infrastructure and Operations and a dean. Deans—one per division—serve two year terms, as does the faculty representative. Other working group members include:

Senior Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs
Vice Provost for Information and Technology
Vice President and Director of Intercollegiate Athletics
Vice President for OSU-Cascades
Vice President for Research
Vice President for Student Affairs
Director of University Housing and Dining
Capital Plan Review Group

The Capital Plan Review Group performs the following functions:

- Consults with the IWG and other key leadership groups for comment on proposed projects.
- Assesses impacts of the Forecast on the debt policy ratios established by the Board of Trustees and OSU’s 10-year Business Forecast.
- Provides recommendations to the Provost/Executive Vice President and Vice President for Finance and Administration for updates and revisions to the Forecast.

OSU Capital Plan Review Group consists of:
- Chair, Director OSU Budget and Fiscal Planning
- Associate Vice President for Finance and Controller
- Associate Vice President for University Facilities, Infrastructure and Operations
- Director of Treasury
Appendix 1. Process for the annual update, review and approval of the 10-year Capital Forecast

**OSU 10-Year Capital Forecast Process**

**Inputs**
- Unit requests
- Health, safety, accessibility
- Capital improvement and renewal

**Outputs**
- Capital project database
- Project list and request reports
  - Draft Forecast
  - Draft Forecast
  - Draft Forecast
  - Draft Forecast
  - OSU 10-yr Capital Forecast

**Actions**
- Solicit
- Summarize and compile
- Analyze and recommend
- Analyze and recommend
- Analyze and recommend
- Recommend
- Review, amend and accept

**Principals**
- Capital Planning and Development (CPD)
  - CPD
  - Infrastructure Working Group
  - Capital Plan Review Group
  - Provost and VPFA
  - President
  - Board of Trustees

**Timeline**
- June/July
- July
- July/August
- August
- September
- September
- October